

# Fourier Analysis

Mar 29, 2022

Review.

Def. Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ . Set

$$f * g(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x-y) g(y) dy.$$

Prop. Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ . Then

(1)  $f * g = g * f$ .

(2)  $f * g \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ .

(3)  $\widehat{f * g}(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi) \cdot \widehat{g}(\xi)$ .

Def. (Good Kernel on  $\mathbb{R}$ )

A family of  $(K_t)_{t \in (a,b)} \subset M(\mathbb{R})$  is said to be a good kernel on  $\mathbb{R}$ , as  $t \rightarrow t_0$ , if

$$(1) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_t(x) dx = 1 \quad \text{for all } t \in (a,b).$$

$$(2) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} |K_t(x)| dx \leq M \quad \text{for all } t \in (a,b),$$

where  $M > 0$  is a constant.

$$(3) \quad \forall \delta > 0,$$

$$\int_{|x| > \delta} |K_t(x)| dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow t_0.$$

Thm (Convergence thm about good kernels).

Let  $(K_t)_{t \in (a,b)}$  be a good kernel on  $\mathbb{R}$ , as  $t \rightarrow t_0$ .

Let  $f \in M(\mathbb{R})$ . Then

$$K_t * f(x) \Rightarrow f(x) \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R} \text{ as } t \rightarrow t_0.$$

Thm ( Multiplicative formula).

Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ .

$$\text{Then } \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \cdot \hat{g}(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(x) g(x) dx.$$

Now we are ready to prove the inversion formula.

Thm ( Fourier inversion formula)

Let  $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ . Suppose  $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ .

Then

$$f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i \xi x} d\xi$$

Pf. We first consider the case when  $x=0$ .

We need to show that

$$f(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi.$$

To see it, we define for  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$g_\delta(x) = e^{-\pi \delta x^2} = e^{-\pi (x \cdot \sqrt{\delta})^2}$$

Let us take the Fourier transform of  $g_\delta$ :

Recall  $e^{-\pi x^2} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} e^{-\pi \xi^2}$

So  $e^{-\pi (\sqrt{\delta} x)^2} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} \frac{e^{-\pi \left(\frac{\xi}{\sqrt{\delta}}\right)^2}}{\sqrt{\delta}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta}} e^{-\pi \frac{\xi^2}{\delta}}$ .

(using  $f(\delta x) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{\delta} \hat{f}\left(\frac{\xi}{\delta}\right)$ ).

Hence  $\hat{g}_\delta\left(\frac{\xi}{\delta}\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta}} e^{-\pi \frac{\xi^2}{\delta}}$ .

Now set  $K_\delta = \hat{g}_\delta$ ,  $\delta > 0$ .

We claim that  $(K_\delta)_{\delta > 0}$  is a good kernel on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Check:

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_{\delta}(x) dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta}} e^{-\pi \frac{x^2}{\delta}} dx \\ &\stackrel{\text{Letting } y = \frac{x}{\sqrt{\delta}}}{=} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\pi y^2} dy \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |K_{\delta}(x)| dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_{\delta}(x) dx = 1.$$

$\textcircled{3} \forall \gamma > 0,$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|x| > \gamma} |K_{\delta}(x)| dx &= \int_{|x| > \gamma} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta}} e^{-\pi \frac{x^2}{\delta}} dx \\ &\stackrel{\text{Letting } y = \frac{x}{\sqrt{\delta}}}{=} \int_{|y| > \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{\delta}}} e^{-\pi y^2} dy \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \delta \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

So  $(K_{\delta})_{\delta > 0}$  is a good kernel on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Hence by the convergence theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} f(0) &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} K_\delta * f(0) \\ &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) K_\delta(-x) dx && (K_\delta(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta}} e^{-\pi \frac{x^2}{\delta}}) \\ &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) K_\delta(x) dx \\ &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \widehat{g}_\delta(x) dx && (g_\delta(x) = e^{-\pi \delta x^2}) \end{aligned}$$

by Multiplicative formula

$$\begin{aligned} &= \\ &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(x) g_\delta(x) dx \\ &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(x) e^{-\pi \delta x^2} dx \end{aligned}$$

Notice that  $|\widehat{f}(x) e^{-\pi \delta x^2}| \leq |\widehat{f}(x)|$ ,  $|\widehat{f}| \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ ,

and

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \widehat{f}(x) e^{-\pi \delta x^2} = \widehat{f}(x).$$

Hence by the Dominated Convergence Thm,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(x) e^{-\pi \delta x^2} dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \widehat{f}(x) e^{-\pi \delta x^2} dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

This proves  $f(0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(x) dx$  (\*)

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(\xi) d\xi.$$

Next we consider the general case.

Let  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Define

$$f_{x_0}(x) = f(x+x_0), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Clearly,  $f_{x_0} \in M(\mathbb{R})$ , and  $\widehat{f_{x_0}}(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi) \cdot e^{2\pi i \xi x_0}$

By (\*),

$$f_{x_0}(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{f_{x_0}}(\xi) d\xi.$$

$\in M(\mathbb{R})$

Therefore

$$f(x_0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i \xi x_0} d\xi.$$

Since  $x_0$  is arbitrarily taken, we prove the Fourier inversion formula.  $\square$ .

Thm (Plancherel formula).

Let  $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ . Suppose that  $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ .

Then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^2 dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi.$$

(It is an analogue of the Parseval identity).

Pf. Let  $h(x) = \overline{f(-x)}$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Check:  $h \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ .

$$\widehat{h}(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{f(-x)} e^{-2\pi i \xi x} dx$$



$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{f(-x) e^{2\pi i \xi x}} dx$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(-x) e^{2\pi i \xi x} dx$$

Letting  $y = -x$

$$\int_{+\infty}^{-\infty} f(y) e^{-2\pi i \xi y} (-1) dy$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y) e^{-2\pi i \xi y} dy$$

$$= \widehat{f(\xi)}.$$

Next we consider  $f * h \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ .

Notice that

$$\widehat{f * h}(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi) \cdot \widehat{h}(\xi)$$

$$= \widehat{f}(\xi) \cdot \overline{\widehat{f}(\xi)}$$

$$= |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 \quad (z \cdot \bar{z} = |z|^2)$$

Since  $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ , so  $\widehat{f * h} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ .

Applying the Fourier inversion formula to  $f * h$  at  $x=0$ ,

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f * h(0) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{f * h}(\xi) d\xi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Notice by definition,

$$\begin{aligned} f * h(0) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) h(-x) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \overline{\overline{f(x)}} dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the Plancherel formula.  $\square$ .

- Schwartz space  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ .

Def. Let  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  be the collection of  $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$

so that for all integers  $n, l \geq 0$ ,

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |x^n f^{(l)}(x)| < \infty \quad (**)$$

We call  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  the Schwartz space.

Remark:  $(**)$  is equivalent to

$$|f^{(l)}(x)| \leq \frac{C}{1 + |x|^n} \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}.$$

Remark: ①  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

If  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  then

$\alpha f + \beta g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ .

② If  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  then

- $x f(x) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ .

- $f' \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ .

By (2), we see that if  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  then

$$P_1(x) f(x) + P_2(x) f'(x) + \dots + P_\ell(x) f^{(\ell-1)}(x) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \text{ for all polynomials } P_1, \dots, P_\ell.$$

Prop.  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \Leftrightarrow \hat{f} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ .

Pf. We only prove the direction " $\Rightarrow$ ".

Now let  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ . We need to show that

$\forall n, \ell \geq 0$ ,  $\hat{f}^{(\ell)}$  exists and

$$\sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}} |\xi|^n \cdot |\hat{f}^{(\ell)}(\xi)| < \infty.$$

$$\xi \in \mathbb{R}$$

To see this, notice that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (-2\pi i x)^{\ell} f(x) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) & & \\ \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} & & \widehat{f}^{(\ell)}(\xi) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{d^n \left( (-2\pi i x)^{\ell} f(x) \right)}{dx^n} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} & (2\pi i \xi)^n \cdot \widehat{f}^{(\ell)}(\xi) \\ \left( =: g(x) \right) & & \end{array}$$

Since  $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ , so

$$|\widehat{g}(\xi)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(x)| dx < \infty \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}$$

Hence

$$\sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}} \left| (2\pi i \xi)^n \widehat{f}^{(\ell)}(\xi) \right| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(x)| dx < \infty.$$

□

Statistics for Mid-term of Math 3093.

$$\text{Mean} = 80.4$$

$$\text{SD} = 14.7$$